

**UNITED
NATIONS**



Tribunal Pénal International pour le Rwanda

International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda

IN THE APPEALS CHAMBER

Before: **Judge Theodor Meron, Presiding**
Judge Mohamed Shahabuddeen
Judge Mehmet Güney
Judge Fausto Pocar
Judge Inés Mónica Weinberg de Roca

Registrar: **Mr Adama Dieng**

Judgement of: **20 May 2005**

LAURENT SEMANZA

v.

THE PROSECUTOR

Case No. ICTR-97-20-A

JUDGEMENT

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr James Stewart
Ms Amanda Reichman
Mr Neville Weston

Counsel for the Appellant

Mr Charles Achaleke Taku

CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	2
A. THE TRIAL JUDGEMENT.....	2
B. THE APPEALS	2
C. STANDARDS FOR APPELLATE REVIEW	3
II. APPEAL OF LAURENT SEMANZA	5
A. APPREHENSION OF BIAS (GROUNDS 1, 5, 6, 8 AND 21)	5
1. Statements Made by Judges during Trial.....	5
2. Pleading on the First Amended Indictment	7
3. Unfair Treatment.....	8
(a) Trial Chamber Decisions Concerning Witnesses	8
(b) Refusal to View Tapes during the Proceedings	9
(c) Decisions Relating to Cross-examination of Witnesses.....	9
(d) Protective Measures	10
(e) The Scheduling Order of 2 May 2002 and the Parties' Closing Briefs.....	11
(f) Withdrawal of Photographs Tendered by Prosecution Witness VP	11
(g) Attempts to Greet.....	12
4. Failure to Recuse.....	13
5. Alleged Discrepancies with <i>Bisengimana</i> Indictment	13
6. Alleged Failure to Rule on Issues Submitted for Determination.....	14
(a) Audiocassettes of Intercepted Telephone Conversations	14
(b) Alleged Failure to Rule on All Issues Raised in Motion of 14 July 2000.....	15
(c) The Amicus Curiae Brief Filed by Belgium.....	16
7. Right to be Present at Status Conferences	16
8. Prosecuting Counsel Joining ICTR Chambers Before Judgement	16
9. Language in Which Trial Judgement was Delivered	17
10. Conclusion	17
B. THE INDICTMENT (GROUND 2)	17
1. Initial Appearance, Disclosure, and Confirmation	17
2. Amendments to the Indictment	19
3. Rights to be Represented by Counsel of Choice During Plea and to Plead to Subsequent Amended Indictments	21
4. Failure to Plead to the Amended Indictments	22
5. Other Objections	23
6. Nature of the Amendments	23
7. Protection of Witnesses.....	24
8. Vagueness and Lack of Specificity.....	26
C. AMENDMENTS TO THE INDICTMENT (GROUND 3)	27
D. ALIBI AND REBUTTAL (GROUND 4)	28
1. Introduction.....	28
2. The Trial Chamber's Finding that the Defence Had Failed to Give Proper Notice of the Alibi and the Decision to Allow Rebuttal Evidence	30
(a) Alibi Known by the Prosecution from the Beginning of the Case?.....	30
(b) Related Contentions that Logically Fail.....	33
(c) The Trial Chamber's Decision to Allow Rebuttal Evidence	33
(i) The Decision to Allow Professor Guichaoua to Testify in Rebuttal.....	35
(ii) A New Set of Criteria to Decide When Rebuttal Evidence Should Be Allowed?	35
(d) Trial Chamber's Decision Denying Leave to Call Rejoinder Witnesses	37
3. Appreciation of Evidence on the Alibi	39

(a) Credibility of Alibi Witnesses	39
(b) Appreciation of Evidence in Support of the Alibi.....	39
(i) The Trial Chamber’s Assessment of the Alibi Evidence	40
a. The Appellant’s Whereabouts on 6-8 April 1994	40
b. Alleged Attack of RPF Infiltrators on 8 April 1994	41
c. The Appellant’s Flight from the Region on 8-9 April 1994	45
i. Witness CBN	47
d. The Appellant’s Whereabouts on 10-18 April 1994 and the Possibility that he Returned to the Region after his Alleged Flight on 9 April 1994	48
(ii) Evidence in Rebuttal.....	51
a. Professor Guichaoua as a Rebuttal Witness	51
b. Witness XXK as a Rebuttal Witness	51
i. Credibility of Witness XXK	51
- Witness XXK’s Desire to Greet the Appellant in Court	51
- Death of Mbaraga Bizuru	52
- Witness DCN	52
ii. Treatment of Witness XXK’s Testimony.....	53
(iii) Additional Evidence of Witness TDR	55
a. The Evidence of Witness TDR.....	55
b. Credibility Evaluation.....	57
c. Consideration of Witness TDR’s Evidence	57
i. Presence of the Appellant and RPF control of the region	57
ii. Musha Church.....	58
- 8 or 9 April 1994	58
- 13 April 1994.....	59
iii. Mwulire Hill	59
iv. Mabare Mosque	60
d. Conclusion	60
(c) Conclusion on the Alibi Evidence	60
E. JUDICIAL NOTICE (GROUNDS 9 AND 17)	60
1. Rules Under Which the Motion was Brought and the Decision Rendered.....	61
2. Presumption of Innocence and Burden of Proof.....	62
3. Grounds for the Decision.....	63
4. Motion to Dismiss	64
5. Cumulative Conviction.....	65
6. Oral Motion for Reconsideration.....	66
F. EVALUATION OF EVIDENCE ON IDENTIFICATION (GROUNDS 10, 11 AND 15)	66
1. Witness VA.....	67
2. Witness VM	68
3. Witness VD	70
4. Witness VV	71
5. Alleged Contradictions between the Witnesses as to Vehicle or Vehicles Used by the Appellant	72
6. Alleged Contradictions between the Witnesses as to the Appellant’s Attire.....	73
7. Conclusion	74
G. EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE CONVICTIONS (GROUNDS 12, 14 AND 16).....	74
1. Witness VA.....	75
(a) Identification of the Church Building(s)	75
(b) Discrepancies with the Indictment.....	76
(c) Witness VA’s Assertion that the Appellant Was the Leader at Musha Church	76
(d) Witness VA and the Trial Chamber’s Finding that the Appellant and Others Went to Musha Church on 8 or 9 April 1994	76
(e) Witness VA’s Testimony that the Appellant Tortured and Killed one Rusanganwa.....	79
(f) Witness VA’s Testimony as to the Attack on Musha Church on 13 April 1994	81

2. Witness VM	82
3. VZ's Version of the Events at Musha Church.....	83
4. Individual Criminal Responsibility for the Musha church massacre	84
5. Genocide, Complicity to Commit Genocide and Crimes Against Humanity.....	86
(a) Genocide	86
(b) Crimes Against Humanity.....	87
6. Mwulire Hill.....	90
H. RAPE OF VICTIM A, MURDER OF VICTIM B, TORTURE AND MURDER OF VICTIM C (GROUND 13).....	93
I. MURDER OF VICTIMS D, E, F, G, H, AND J (GROUND 15)	96
J. EXPERT EVIDENCE (GROUND 19)	98
K. CUMULATIVE CHARGING (GROUND 20).....	101
L. SENTENCING (GROUND 22).....	101
1. The Sentence	101
2. Standard of Review	102
3. Cumulative Convictions	103
4. Reduction of Sentence and Related Issues Concerning the Appellant's Pre-Trial Detention	105
5. Other Indictments	107
6. Mitigating Factors.....	108
7. Aggravating Factors	109
8. Sanctionable Conduct	110
9. Rwandan Sentencing Laws	111
III. APPEAL OF THE PROSECUTION.....	113
A. LIABILITY FOR ORDERING CRIMES AT MUSHA CHURCH (GROUND 2)	113
1. Arguments of the Parties.....	113
2. Discussion.....	114
B. WAR CRIMES (GROUND 4)	117
C. SENTENCE (GROUND 5)	120
1. Due Regard for General Sentencing Practice of Rwanda	121
2. Disparity with the Tribunal's Sentencing Practice and Applying a Sentence which is Disproportionate to the Gravity of these Crimes	123
3. Inadequate Sentences for Instigating Rape and Murder	126
4. Consideration of the Appellant's Prior Character and Accomplishments in Mitigation....	127
IV. DISPOSITION	129
V. SEPARATE OPINION OF JUDGE SHAHABUDEEN AND JUDGE GÜNEY	133
A. SENTENCING.....	133
B. THE COMPETENCE OF THE APPEALS CHAMBER TO SUBSTITUTE A CONVICTION FOR AN ACQUITTAL.....	133
VI. DISSENTING OPINION OF JUDGE POCAR.....	136
ANNEX A: PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND.....	139
ANNEX B: CITED MATERIALS/DEFINED TERMS	141
A. JURISPRUDENCE	141
1. ICTR.....	141
2. ICTY	142
3. Other Jurisdictions	145
4. Other Materials	145
B. DEFINED TERMS	145